## Appendix 1 - Consultation Outcome and Recommendations Parking Restriction Proposals Autumn Review 2021 (P12 2021)

Consultation dates: 12 November 2021 – 3 December 2021

Legend: DPP – Disabled Parking Place, DYL – Double Yellow Line, NWAAT – No waiting at any time, NLAAT – No loading and no unloading at any time, LW – Limited waiting.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
1.	Albert Rd, BH1	Christchurch Rd (in Pedestrian Zone)	NWAAT & No loading/ No unloading 10am- 10pm	NWAAT & No loading/ No unloading 10am-10pm (not marked correctly on the ground)	Central	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections This item will correct an anomaly in the existing traffic order and reduce instances of parking occurring within the Pedestrian Zone.
2.	Ardmore Rd, BH14	Outside Nos. 11a to c – 15. & adj to No. 12 (to confirm marked restriction)	NWAAT	LW to 2 hrs Mon-Fri 8am- 6pm no return 1 hr, except permit holders (resident) & NWAAT	Parkstone	<ul> <li>4 support, 2 objections. Support</li> <li>Extension to DYL will stop cars blocking the accesses to off-street parking.</li> <li>Will limit the number of motorists using this street as a cheap parking place.</li> <li>Objection</li> <li>Resident permit holder. Will result in loss of road parking spaces. Road already has limited parking available and a number of residents have 2 vehicles.</li> <li>Increased problem at weekends as nonresidents park. No alternative parking to be provided and property used for holiday let.</li> <li>1 off-street space shouldn't take precedent over 2 on-street spaces.</li> <li>Keeping on-street parking will stop illegal parking in off-street spaces.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reasons:         <ul> <li>Objections based around removal of parking bay and not implementation of DYLs. The proposed revocation of the parking bay is necessary to facilitate uninterrupted vehicular access to the residential property.</li> <li>It is not appropriate to have an uncontrolled stretch of road for 30m within an area parking zone. The proposed DYLs would keep the accesses clear. If restrictions were not implemented this would likely result in vehicles parking for an unlimited period in the spaces between accesses which are not big enough to accommodate a standard bay.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
3.	Arley Rd/ Whitefield Rd, BH14	Bend adj to No. 46 Whitefield Rd on both sides of the carriageway	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Parkstone	<ul> <li>3 support:</li> <li>Visibility obstructed on the bend causing mounting of pavement. Have to drive round corner several times a day.</li> <li>Parking on the bend is dangerous.</li> <li>Request for additional restrictions along Arley Road.</li> <li>Request to extend white H bar restrictions to all dropped kerbs on Whitefield Road.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reasons:         <ul> <li>No objections</li> </ul> </li> <li>The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility/ manoeuvrability on the bend.</li> <li>Proposal advertised is of a sufficient for road layout at this location.</li> <li>Residents may apply online for an APM at https://www.bcpcouncil.gov.uk/Roads-and-transport/Dropped-kerbs/Dropped-kerbs.aspx</li> </ul>
4.	Beechbank Ave/ Longmeadow Lane, BH17	Bend adj to the rear of Nos. 57-63 Meadowsweet Rd on both sides of the carriageway	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Creekmoor	observation:     Disappointed that proposal for DYLs does not extend further.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the approaches and on the bend itself. Proposal advertised is of a sufficient for road layout at this location.
5.	Beech Wood Close/ York Rd, BH18	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Broadstone	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility at the junction.
6.	Bendigo Rd/ Brisbane Rd, BH23	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Commons	<ul> <li>5 support, 1 objection, 3 observations Support: <ul> <li>Will improve safety at junction.</li> <li>Agrees despite more vehicles parked near own house.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Objection: <ul> <li>Loss of on-street parking.</li> <li>Insufficient congestion or incidents to warrant a change</li> </ul> </li> <li>Observations: <ul> <li>No accidents at the location</li> <li>Large vehicles maintain access already</li> <li>No need for restriction as refuse lorry does not enter road.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reason: The council as Highway Authority has duties related to road safety hence it is seeking to prevent parking where it is unsafe. The proposed restriction will improve visibility at the junction and improve access for refuse vehicles.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
7.	Birchwood Rd/ St Osmunds Rd, BH14	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Penn Hill	<ul> <li>2 support, 1 objection, 1 observation support:</li> <li>Support and suggest additional DYLs Objection:</li> <li>No issues with parked vehicles.</li> <li>Displaces parking elsewhere.</li> <li>Restrictions will prevent vehicles from being able to park outside of their homes.</li> <li>Observation:</li> <li>Request to implement 20 mph zone along St Osmunds Road.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction. Request for 20mph zone outside the scope of this consultation.
8.	Burnett Ave/ Corbar Rd, BH23	10m around the junction & opposite the junction adj to Nos. 9-11a Burnett Ave	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Commons	1 objection Agree with the need for restrictions on the junction but not needed opposite the junction. Would add pressure on the parking in the area.	Implement as advertised. Reasons: The council as Highway Authority has duties related to road safety hence it is seeking to prevent parking where it is unsafe. The proposed restriction will improve visibility at the junction and improve access for refuse vehicles.
9.	Burnett Ave/ Windsor Rd, BH23	10m around the junction & opposite the junction adj to Nos. 27-31 Burnett Ave	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Commons	<ul> <li>2 objections</li> <li>Will displace parking elsewhere along the road causing disruption to other residents.</li> <li>Have seen no issues with large vehicles.</li> <li>Will force residents to build front drives</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons: The council as Highway Authority has duties related to road safety hence it is seeking to prevent parking where it is unsafe. The proposed restriction will improve visibility at the junction and improve access for refuse vehicles.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
10.	Church Rd, BH14	Adj to Nos. 34-38 - Remove parking bays	NWAAT	LW to 2 hrs Mon-Fri 8am- 6pm no return 1 hr, except permit holders (resident)	Parkxstone	<ul> <li>8 objections (loss of parking) 1 objector refers to installation of DYL.</li> <li>Object to DYL and propose Access Protection Markings.</li> <li>Proposal shows some dropped kerbs with DYL and others not.</li> <li>Although DYL are consistent with other parts of the road that does not make it the best option.</li> <li>APM/No markings will also allow properties with visiting vehicles to park outside the address being visited</li> <li>Residents have more than 1 car and dependent on car for work but respect need for ESG change.</li> <li>Only option after 6pm would be to park on yellow lines.</li> <li>Removal of on-street parking spaces will make parking more difficult. Need more parking on-street.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reasons:</li> <li>The proposed revocation of the parking bay is necessary to facilitate uninterrupted vehicular access to the residential property.</li> <li>It is not appropriate to have an uncontrolled stretch of road for 22m within an area parking zone. The proposed DYLs would keep the accesses clear. If restrictions were not implemented this would likely result in vehicles parking for an unlimited period in the spaces between accesses which are not big enough to accommodate a standard bay.</li> <li>An APM is not appropriate for a lengthy distance covering multiple accesses.</li> </ul>

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points	Decision
11.	Cowslip Rd, BH18	10m around the junction to Nos. 2-22 (evens numbers) & opposite the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Broadstone	<ul> <li>raised)</li> <li>6 support, 6 objections, 1 observation Support</li> <li>Parked vehicles create hazardous route, and danger close to entrance.</li> <li>Entrance into the road is difficult due to cars but particularly vans.</li> <li>Involved in near miss, increase restrictions further.</li> <li>Parking continuous along Cowslip and would provide a passing point.</li> <li>Refuse vehicle has experienced difficulties.</li> <li>Objection</li> <li>Parked cars displace parking to narrower sections, slow vehicles down, do not cause an obstruction, do not hinder refuse or delivery vehicles</li> <li>If restrictions are proposed here then should be for a number of other junctions.</li> <li>Existing layout has been in place for many years and there are not any problems.</li> <li>Restrictions require maintenance.</li> <li>Many properties have multiple vehicles.</li> <li>Observations</li> <li>Will prevent people parking who use the trailway</li> <li>Will displace parking so additional</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction and also improve access for refuse vehicles experiencing issues at this junction.  The proposed restriction will also allow for a passing point along Cowslip Road as vehicles tend to park along one side of the road.
12.	Cowslip Rd/ Pinesprings Dr, BH18	20m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Broadstone	restrictions required.  3 support, 1 objection Support  • Safety issue for long time  • Benefit to emergency services and refuse vehicles.  • Residents have garages and off-street parking.  • Suggestion: restrictions should extend further due to displaced parking.  Objection  • Previously installed restrictions cause inconvenience.  • Loss of parking for visitors	Implement as advertised. Reason:  The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
13.	Creekmoor Lane /Tarn Dr, BH17	Around the junction. Add No loading/unloading at any time to existing NWAAT	NWAAT/NLAAT	NWAAT	Creekmoor	No response received.	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reasons: <ul> <li>No objections</li> </ul> </li> <li>The restriction will reduce instance of unsafe and obstructive loading/unloading, enabling improved visibility at the junction and improved access for HGVs.</li> </ul>
14.	Creekmoor Lane, BH17	Opposite the junction of Tarn Dr & at the entrance to Keyline (and opposite). Add NLAAT to existing NWAAT	NWAAT/NLAAT	NWAAT	Creekmoor	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The restriction will reduce instances of unsafe and obstructive loading/unloading.
15.	Curtis Rd, BH12	10m on both sides of the carriageway at its junction with Victoria Rd	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Newtown & Heatherlands	S support     Access is difficult and very tight.     Will benefit emergency services and refuse vehicles.	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reasons:</li> <li>No objections</li> <li>The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.</li> </ul>
16.	Dorchester Rd/ Dorchester Gdns, BH15	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Oakdale	<ul> <li>1 support</li> <li>Vehicles regularly park on junction, reducing visibility.</li> <li>Increased volume of traffic due to ETRO closures.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reason: <ul> <li>No objections</li> <li>The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
17.	Dorchester Rd, BH15	10m each side of its junction with Leverett Gdns	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Oakdale	No response received.	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reason: <ul> <li>No objections</li> </ul> </li> <li>The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.</li> </ul>
18.	Harvey Rd, BH5	Adj to the former St Thomas Garnet's School.	Unrestricted	No stopping on school entrance marking Mon- Fri 8am-5pm	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	No response received.	Implement as advertised: Reason:  No objections Since the closure of the school, the school keep clear marking is redundant.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points	Decision
19.	High Street, BH23	Adj to Nos. 59-61. Revoke section of DPP to accommodate Beryl Bike Bay	NWAAT/NLAAT  24 hr DPP 8am-	DPP 10am- 4pm max stay 3 hrs no return within 1 hr	Christchurch Town	<ul> <li>raised)</li> <li>13 objections</li> <li>Removal of disabled parking</li> <li>Already beryl bays within area.</li> <li>Loss of parking</li> <li>Danger to pedestrians conflicting with cyclists.</li> <li>Bikes blowing over into the road and</li> </ul>	Not to be implemented:  Due to lack of community support and objections including Ward Councillor and Town Council on the loss of on-street parking this proposal will not be implemented.
		Revoke section of limited wait parking bay & existing DPP bay		4pm max stay 3 hrs no return within 1 hr & LW to 30 mins 10am- 6pm return prohibited within 1 hr		<ul> <li>Date blowing over fine the marked area.</li> <li>Causes bikes to be littered on pavement</li> <li>Already incidents with pedestrians tripping on kerb</li> <li>Doesn't meet objectives of RTRA</li> <li>Narrow pavement and will cause danger to pedestrians</li> <li>Issues for Market Traders</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Request to relocate Beryl bay from Wick Lane and unable to allocate on the pavement in the southern section of the High St due to narrow pavement widths. Therefore, proposal was made to revoke, one parking space, whilst retaining the same amount of disabled parking and to install Beryl bike planter bay to ensure a safe designated bay. Proposal is in keeping with the Council's key objectives to encourage more sustainable travel.</li> <li>Beryl bay to be re-located within Wick Lane car park, which does not require a TRO, however if users continue to leave bikes on the pavement in the southern section of the High Street, as the scheme permits, then further proposals may need to be explored in the future.</li> </ul>
21.	Linden Rd/ Crest Rd, BH12	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Newtown & Heatherlands	No response received.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.
22.	Linden Rd/ Livingstone Rd, BH12	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Newtown & Heatherlands	<ul> <li>1 objection</li> <li>Not required, wide road and good visibility.</li> <li>Drive is opposite and had no issues, even during school start and finish times.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.  School Street ETRO to be implemented on Livingstone Road. Proposal facilitates safer junction for children walking to School.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
23.	Lucas Rd/ Churchill Rd, BH12	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Newtown & Heatherlands	<ul> <li>1 observation</li> <li>Accept there is an issue with visibility at the junction.</li> <li>Displacement of parking will cause further problems, therefore request to protect accesses with H bars on Lucas Road.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction. Residents may apply via the BCP Council website for an APM
24.	Malvern Rd, BH9	10m each side of its junction with McWilliam Rd	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Moordown	No issue with proposal however requests DYLs at junction Malvern/Mayfield Rd as considers more dangerous	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility at the junction and improved access for refuse/delivery vehicles. New restrictions at Mayfield Rd junction outside scope of this consultation.
25.	Minterne Rd/ Bingham Ave, BH14	Around the junction including splitter island	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Canford Cliffs	<ul> <li>1 support</li> <li>Parking on the junction is dangerous.</li> <li>Request for additional restrictions to prevent parking on both sides of the road.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility/manoeuvrability at the junction.
26.	Oakley Lane, BH21	Extend NWAAT on the northern side of the carriageway to 10m past chicane (opposite no. 22) & opposite the chicane on the southern side of the carriageway	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Bearwood & Merley	<ul> <li>3 support, 1 objection</li> <li>Support</li> <li>Only a matter of time before there is an accident. Cars parked on both sides and vehicles travel around the bend at speed.</li> <li>BCP need to ensure parking is provided if second SANG is created.</li> <li>May cause displacement of parking.</li> <li>Danger to pedestrians as narrow footway.</li> <li>Objection</li> <li>DYL only needed on one side.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reason:  Currently vehicles park on both sides of the road. The proposed restriction will improve visibility and manoeuvrability on the approach to the bend by restricting parking

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
27.	Oswald Rd, BH9	Inside of the bend adj to Winton Primary School/ opposite Nos. 62- 70 & extend NWAAT by 10m from school car park entrance adj to No. 49	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Wallisdown & Winton West	<ul> <li>3 observations:</li> <li>Blue badge holders will park on new DYLs anyway.</li> <li>Will increase pressure on remaining parking</li> <li>Existing problems at school drop of and pick up times listed (longer period in afternoon): cars travelling against one way, contraflow cycling hazardous, parking on DYLs, blocking and no room to manoeuvre out of driveways, abuse, school transport/delivery/emergency vehicles stuck waiting for cars to clear, school staff parking in road not school car park.</li> <li>Suggestion: cars use back of school grounds to rejoin road via Oswald Close &amp; traffic lights to Cherries Drive.</li> <li>Suggestion: in addition SYL 8-9am &amp; school times or 2-4.45pm to opposite No. 78/ No. 79</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reason: No objections</li> <li>The restriction is to enable improved visibility and safer passage of all traffic at the school car park entrance and exit points and at the bend in Oswald Road immediately to the west of the school car park.</li> <li>Alternative routes and additional restrictions outside the scope of this consultation.</li> </ul>
28.	Parkwood Rd, BH5	Adj to the former St Thomas Garnet's School.	Unrestricted	No stopping on school entrance marking Mon- Fri 8am-5pm	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	<ul> <li>1 in support &amp; 2 observations:</li> <li>Supports entrance marking removal and requests SYLs &amp; no loading restrictions along Parkwood Rd be considered now school closed.</li> <li>Retain existing SYLs as other schools traffic remains (chiropractic college, BCS and Pokesdown Primary) and allows safe exit from driveway.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reason:  No objections School entrance marking redundant as St Thomas Garnet's school closed. Proposal is to remove school entrance marking only.
29.	Poole Rd, BH4	Adj to Nos 106- 108. Revoke DPP bay	Bus stop clearway - no stopping except local buses	24 hr DPP 8am-6pm with max stay 3 hrs no return within 1 hr	Westbourne & West Cliff	Objection:     Enough space already for the buses     Will be impossible for disabled people to use that section of Westbourne & shops etc	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  Improves reliability and punctuality of buses travelling eastbound through Westbourne by improving operational environment for buses at this location - enables buses to properly access the stop and align parallel with the shelter  Proposal has positive impact on disabled residents who use buses to a greater extent.  Alternative DPP provision is proposed outside No. 84

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
30.		Adj to Nos 84-86. Revoke section of LW parking bay	24 hr DPP 8am- 6pm with max stay 3 hrs no return within 1 hr	LW to 1 hr 8am-6pm no return within 1 hr		<ul> <li>1 in support &amp; 1 objection:</li> <li>This road and others need more DPPs, existing are used and abused by non-disabled &amp; hard to use shops as cannot walk from car parks.</li> <li>Object to further disabled parking when existing are frequently empty. Car parks often at capacity. Support local independent businesses to be accessed and enjoyed by all.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reason: Provides alternative not additional same size provision to that revoked outside Nos. 106-108.
31.	Pound Lane/ Bedale Way, BH15	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Oakdale	No response received.	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reasons:</li> <li>No objections</li> <li>The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.</li> </ul>
32.	Quay Rd, BH23	Adj to Nos. 3-3a. Reduce the length of the parking bay & replace with NWAAT between parking bays & across vehicle access	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Christchurch Town	<ul> <li>13 Objections &amp; 1 Suggestion</li> <li>Object to removal any space in Christchurch</li> <li>Too many permits and not enough parking.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  Bay is to be reduced by small amount not removed entirely To prevent blockage of building door access
33.	Queen's Park Ave/ Queen's Park West Drive, BH8	15m around the junction.	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Queen's Park	<ul> <li>5 in support &amp; 1 observation:</li> <li>Will sort AFC Bournemouth Saturday daytime match safety issue – parking both sides causes gridlock, preventing residents and emergency services access.</li> <li>Will prevent blind bend visibility issue</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility at the junction.
34.	Queen's Park West Drive, BH8	On the northern side of the carriageway adj to Nos. 20-38 & on the western side of carriageway adj to Nos. 44-58.	No waiting 10am to 6pm	Unrestricted		<ul> <li>when parking is both sides</li> <li>Still provides one side of the road available for those who wish to park.</li> <li>Suggestion: 10am – 8pm to catch weekday matches starting at 8pm</li> <li>Suggestion: DYLs on western side adj No. 16</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections Will prevent parking on one side of road at times of high parking demand to facilitate safe passage of traffic.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
35.	Queen's Park West Drive, BH8	Inside of the bend adj to Nos. 40-42.	NWAAT	Unrestricted			<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reasons: <ul> <li>No objections</li> <li>Will prevent parking at all times on one side of the bend to facilitate safe passage of traffic.</li> <li>Comments made regarding separate locations are outside the scope of this consultation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
36.	Recreation Rd, BH12	10m each side of its junction with Cedar Way	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Newtown & Heatherlands	Support, 1 comment     Support     Access and visibility issues at School drop off and collection times and parked cars in general.     Request additional measures.     Observation     Concerned at displacement of parking.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.
37.	Sandbanks Rd, BH14	Extend restriction on the eastern side of the carriageway adj to Nos. 73 & 91.	NWAAT/NLAAT	Unrestricted	Parkstone	Relating to requests for restrictions in separate locations.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking on the footway, enabling improved visibility at the junction and improved access for pedestrians. Comments made regarding separate locations are outside the scope of this consultation.
38.	Somerset Rd/ Bernards Close, BH23	10m around the junction & opposite the junction adj to No.9 Somerset Rd	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Commons	In support     In support of the restrictions to facilitate the refuse vehicles on the junctions.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction. Improve access for refuse vehicles experiencing issues at this junction.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
39.	Somerset Rd/ Corbar Rd, BH23	10m around the junction & opposite the junction adj to Nos.10-12a Somerset Rd	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Commons	No response received	<ul> <li>Implement as advertised.</li> <li>Reasons:</li> <li>No objections</li> <li>The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.</li> <li>Improve access for refuse vehicles experiencing issues at this junction.</li> </ul>
40.	Somerset Rd/ Windsor Rd, BH23	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Commons	Suggests: parking restricted to two hours     Suggests: parking permit scheme for residents	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.  Improve access for refuse vehicles experiencing issues at this junction.
41.	Southwood Ave/ Southwood Close, BH23	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Highcliffe & Walkford	1 objection     Displace parking elsewhere     Cars are not there during the day     Large vehicles do not have difficulty     Restrictions wouldn't be enforced.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.  Improve access for refuse vehicles experiencing issues at this junction.
42.	Spur Hill Ave/ Birchwood Rd, BH14	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Penn Hill	Parked vehicles cause difficulty     navigating junction. Involved in and     witnessed close calls.     Disabled driver and driveway is close to     junction.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.
43.	Spur Hill Ave/ Caledon Rd, BH14	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Penn Hill	1 support, 1 observation     Support     Parked vehicles cause difficulty     navigating junction. Involved and     witnessed close calls.  Observation     Major problem at the junction, however     restrictions will cause displacement of     parking.	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility / manoeuvrability on the junction.
44.	Surrey Rd, BH4	Adj to No. 50. Extend NWAAT between the gap	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Talbot & Branksome Woods	1 objection:     Loss of parking, limited available off road for own block of flats.     Not seen accident in three years of living there.     Could shorten some other DYLs.	Implement as advertised.  • Proposal is near bus stop clearway. The proposed restriction will reduce parking enabling improved visibility/manoeuvrability at this pinch point.

Item No.	Road Name(s)	Location/ Description	Advertised Restriction(s)	Existing Restriction(s)	BCP Ward	Summary of responses (Number received & summary of points raised)	Decision
45.	Victoria Cres/ Churchill Rd, BH12	10m around the junction	NWAAT	Unrestricted	Newtown & Heatherlands	<ul> <li>3 support</li> <li>Improve safety at junction.</li> <li>Cars park right up to the junction on both sides.</li> <li>Congestion at peak times.</li> </ul>	Implement as advertised. Reasons:  No objections The proposed restriction will reduce unsafe and obstructive parking, enabling improved visibility/ manoeuvrability on the junction.
46.	Yeatminster Rd, BH17	Turning area adj to Nos.71-85	NWAAT/ No loading/unloading 8am-9.30am & 2.30pm-4pm	Unrestricted	Canford Heath	<ul> <li>3 objections, 1 observation</li> <li>Objections</li> <li>Disabled badge holder who currently parks in this location.</li> <li>Very few vehicles park, majority residents who are elderly and would mean they have to park further from property.</li> <li>Observations</li> <li>Would prefer resident permit parking.</li> <li>Set time for waiting/loading.</li> </ul>	Not to be implemented.  No community support  Restrictions would result in disabled badge holder having to park further away from property.